arXiv:1607.02636v1 [math.FA] 9 Jul 2016

CAUCHY DIFFEOLOGY, NUMERICAL METHODS AND IMPLICIT FUNCTION THEOREM.

JEAN-PIERRE MAGNOT

Abstract. We exhibit here the notion of Cauchy diffeology on spaces of Cauchy sequences. This diffeology appears central in numerical methods developped to solve partial differential equations, and we extend the statement of some classical implicit functions theorem by a careful study of classical proof, exhibiting Cauchy sequences and using them as a key tool to relax some of the hypothesis of an implicit function theorem and then extend its field of application.

Keywords: Diffeology, Cauchy sequences, implicit function theorem, ILB spaces, triangulations, Euler equations.
MSC (2010): 46T20; 58C15; 58B10; 47J25

Introduction

We study in this paper some common use of Chauchy sequences from the point of view of diffeologies. This language is quite friendly, allowing one to differentiate and apply other analytical tools with ease to infinite-dimensional settings, as well as projective limits, including some groups which appear naturally in the ILB setting of Omori [31], and may not exhibit atlases. We recall the necessary material in section 1.

Cauchy sequences appear mostly where convergence of sequences is needed. This tool is bacically topological, as well as the notion of convergence. But especially in analysis of ordinary or partial differential equations, once Cauchy sequences enabled to construct solutions, a classical question is the smooth dependence on the initial conditions and/or the parameters. Out of a well-established manifold sructure on the parameters or the initial conditions, and even if these structures are given, diffeologies appear as an easy way to formalize smoothness, taking rid of the technical requirements of the more rigid framework of manifolds.

In section 2, we describe the so-called Cauchy diffeology on Cauchy sequences, for which

(1) the limit map is smooth
(2) the index maps $ev_k : (u_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \mapsto u_k$ are smooth ($k \in \mathbb{N}$).

We show that the two conditions are necessary, by classical examples, namely, the construction of $\mathbb{R}$ by Cauchy sequences on $\mathbb{Q}$, for which the pull-back diffeology $\lim^*_{n\to+\infty} \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R})$ of the natural diffeology of $\mathbb{R}$ by the limit carries very unusual plots, and very few of them make the index maps smooth. A proposition shows also that the second condition does not make the limit map smooth in most cases. The combination of the two conditions then appears to give the good conditions.

In section 3, we find another motivation for the diffeology: when solving numerically a PDE, we build a sequence (u_n) which converges to the solution u, but when u_n is "close enough" to u, computer representations of u uses the approximate solutio u_n. Thus, other u and u_n need to be smooth under the parameters and the initial conditions. After describing what can be a general setting for numerical methods, we show how one of the most classical ones, the Dirichelet problem, solved by the finite elements method, fits with this setting, and then address an open question on paradoxal solutions of Eurler equations for perfect fluids.

In section 4, we finally show that part of the classical hypothesis of classical (smooth) implicit functions theorems can be relaxed focusing on the diffeology of Cauchy sequences instead of starting with strong estimates on the considered functions. We concentrate on an implicit function theorem on ILB sequences of Banach spaces (E_i) and (F_i) and smooth maps $f_i : O_i \subset E_i \times Fi \to F_i$. Uniform estimates on the family f_i are not necessary to define a diffeological domain $D \subset \bigcap_{i\in\mathbb{N}} O_i$ and a smooth map $u : D \to \bigcap_{i\in\mathbb{N}} F_i$ such that $\forall i \in \mathbb{N}, f_i(x, u(x)) = 0$. Then, we discuss on the interest of Nash-Moser estimates, or bornological estimates, in order to give some "control" on the domain D. We finish with a corresponding "free of estimates" Fröbenius theorem, again with the only help of rewritten classical proofs.

1. Preliminaries on diffeology

This section provides background on diffeology and related topics necessary for the rest of this paper. The main reference is [18], and the reader should consult this for proofs. A complementary non exhaustive bibliography is [2, 3, 4, 8, 6, 7, 9, 10, 11, 14, 17, 21, 26, 28, 34, 39, 41].

1.1. Basics of Diffeology.

In this subsection we review the basics of the theory of diffeological spaces; in particular, their definition, categorical properties, as well as their induced topology.

Definition 1.1 (Diffeology)**.** *Let X be a set. A **parametrisation** of X is a map of sets $p\colon U \to X$ where U is an open subset of Euclidean space (no fixed dimension). A **diffeology** $\mathcal{P}$ on X is a set of parametrisations satisfying the following three conditions:*

1. *(Covering) For every $x \in X$ and every non-negative integer n, the constant function $p\colon \mathbb{R}^n \to \{x\} \subseteq X$ is in $\mathcal{P}$.*
2. *(Locality) Let $p\colon U \to X$ be a parametrisation such that for every $u \in U$ there exists an open neighbourhood $V \subseteq U$ of u satisfying $p|_V \in \mathcal{P}$. Then $p \in \mathcal{P}$.*
3. *(Smooth Compatibility) Let $(p\colon U \to X) \in \mathcal{P}$. Then for every n, every open subset $V \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$, and every smooth map $F\colon V \to U$, we have $p \circ F \in \mathcal{P}$.*

*A set X equipped with a diffeology $\mathcal{P}$ is called a **diffeological space**, and is denoted by $(X, \mathcal{P})$. When the diffeology is understood, we will drop the symbol $\mathcal{P}$. The parametrisations $p \in \mathcal{P}$ are called **plots**.*

Definition 1.2 (Diffeologically Smooth Map)**.** *Let $(X, \mathcal{P}_X)$ and $(Y, \mathcal{P}_Y)$ be two diffeological spaces, and let $F\colon X \to Y$ be a map. Then we say that F is **diffeologically smooth** if for any plot $p \in \mathcal{P}_X$,*

$$F \circ p \in \mathcal{P}_Y.$$

Diffeological spaces with diffeologically smooth maps form a category. This category is complete and co-complete, and forms a quasi-topos (see [1]). In particular, we have the following constructions.

Definition 1.3 (Product Diffeology)**.** *Let $\{(X_i, \mathcal{P}_i)\}_{i\in I}$ be a family of diffeological spaces. Then the* ***product diffeology*** *on $X = \prod_{i\in I} X_i$ contains a parametrisation $p\colon U \to X$ as a plot if for every $i \in I$, the map $\pi_i \circ p$ is in $\mathcal{P}_i$. Here, π_i is the canonical projection map $X \to X_i$.*

Definition 1.4 (Subset Diffeology)**.** *Let $(X, \mathcal{P})$ be a diffeological space, and let $Y \subseteq X$. Then Y comes equipped with the* ***subset diffeology****, which is the set of all plots in $\mathcal{P}$ with image in Y.*

If X is a smooth manifolds, finite or infinite dimensional, modelled on a complete locally convex topological vector space, we define the **nebulae diffeology**

$$\mathcal{P}(X) = \left\{p \in C^\infty(O, X) \text{ (in the usual sense) } | O \text{ is open in } \mathbb{R}^d, d \in \mathbb{N}^*\right\}.$$

1.2. **Frölicher spaces.**

Definition 1.5. • *A* ***Frölicher*** *space is a triple $(X, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{C})$ such that*
- $\mathcal{C}$ is a set of paths $\mathbb{R} \to X$,
- A function $f : X \to \mathbb{R}$ is in $\mathcal{F}$ if and only if for any $c \in \mathcal{C}$, $f \circ c \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$;
- A path $c : \mathbb{R} \to X$ is in $\mathcal{C}$ (i.e. is a ***contour****) if and only if for any $f \in \mathcal{F}$, $f \circ c \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$.*

• *Let $(X, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{C})$ et $(X', \mathcal{F}', \mathcal{C}')$ be two Frölicher spaces, a map $f : X \to X'$ is* ***differentiable*** *(=smooth) if and only if $\mathcal{F}' \circ f \circ \mathcal{C} \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$.*

Any family of maps $\mathcal{F}_g$ from X to $\mathbb{R}$ generate a Frölicher structure $(X, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{C})$, setting [20]:
- $\mathcal{C} = \{c : \mathbb{R} \to X \text{ such that } \mathcal{F}_g \circ c \subset C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})\}$
- $\mathcal{F} = \{f : X \to \mathbb{R} \text{ such that } f \circ \mathcal{C} \subset C^\infty(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})\}$.

One easily see that $\mathcal{F}_g \subset \mathcal{F}$. This notion will be useful in the sequel to describe in a simple way a Frölicher structure. A Frölicher space carries a natural topology, which is the pull-back topology of $\mathbb{R}$ via $\mathcal{F}$. In the case of a finite dimensional differentiable manifold, the underlying topology of the Frölicher structure is the same as the manifold topology. In the infinite dimensional case, these two topologies differ very often.

Let us now compare Frölicher spaces with diffeological spaces, with the following diffeology called "nebulae":

$$\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{F}) = \coprod_{p\in\mathbb{N}} \{ f \text{ p- paramatrization on } X;\ \mathcal{F}\circ f \in C^\infty(O, \mathbb{R}) \quad \text{(in the usual sense)}\}.$$

With this construction, we get a natural diffeology when X is a Frölicher space. In this case, one can easily show the following:

Proposition 1.6. [26] *Let$(X, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{C})$ and $(X', \mathcal{F}', \mathcal{C}')$ be two Frölicher spaces. A map $f : X \to X'$ is smooth in the sense of Frölicher if and only if it is smooth for the underlying diffeologies.*

Thus, we can also state intuitively:
smooth manifold $\Rightarrow$ Frölicher space $\Rightarrow$ Diffeological space
With this construction, any complete locally convex topological vector space is a diffeological vector space, that is, a vector space for which addition and scalar

multiplication is smooth. The same way, any finite or infinite dimensional manifold X has a nebulae diffeology, which fully determines smooth functions from or with values in X.We now finish the comparison of the notions of diffeological and Frölicher spacefollowing mostly [41]:

Theorem 1.7. *Let $(X, \mathcal{P})$ be a diffeological space. There exists a unique Frölicher structure $(X, \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}}, \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{P}})$ on X such that for any Frölicher structure $(X, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{C})$ on X, these two equivalent conditions are fulfilled:*

(i) the canonical inclusion is smooth in the sense of Frölicher $(X, \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}}, \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{P}}) \to (X, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{C})$

(ii) the canonical inclusion is smooth in the sense of diffeologies $(X, \mathcal{P}) \to (X, \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{F}))$.

Moreover, $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}}$ is generated by the family

$$\mathcal{F}_0 = \{f : X \to \mathbb{R} \text{ smooth for the usual diffeology of } \mathbb{R}\}.$$

Proof. Let $(X, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{C})$ be a Frölicher structure satisfying *(ii)*. Let $p \in P$ of domain O. $\mathcal{F} \circ p \in C^\infty(O, \mathbb{R})$ in the usual sense. Hence, if $(X, \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}}, \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{P}})$is the Frölicher structure on X generated by the set of smooth maps $(X, \mathcal{P}) \to \mathbb{R}$, we have two smooth inclusions

$$(X, \mathcal{P}) \to (X, \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}})) \text{ in the sense of diffeologies}$$

and

$$(X, \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}}, \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{P}}) \to (X, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{C}) \text{ in the sense of Frölicher.}$$

Proposition 1.6 ends the proof. □

Definition 1.8. [41] *A **reflexive** diffeological space is a diffeological space $(X, \mathcal{P})$ such that $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{P}})$.*

Theorem 1.9. [41] *The category of Frölicher spaces is exactly the category of reflexive diffeological spaces.*

This last theorem allows us to make no difference between Frölicher spaces and reflexive diffeological spaces. We shall call them Frölicher spaces, even when working with their underlying diffeologies.

1.3. **Tangent spaces.** The classical properties of a tangent space are not automatically checked, neither in the case of diffeological spaces following [7], nor in the case of Frölicher spaces following [10]. In these two settings, there are two possible tangent spaces:

-the **internal** tangent space, defined by the derivatives of smooth paths,

- the **external** tangent space, made of derivations on $\mathbb{R}-$valued smooth maps.

The space of germs of smooth paths is not necessarily a vector space, were as the external tangent space is. For example, consider the diffeological subspace of $\mathbb{R}^2$ made of the two lines $y = x$ and $y = -x$. They cross at the origin, the germs of smooth paths are along two directions that cannot be combined by addition into another germ. This is why some authors sometimes deal with the "tangent cone". In [7], the tangent cone is completed to a vector space spanned by the germs of paths. This is what we call tangent space in the sequel.

2. THE CAUCHY DIFFEOLOGY

Let $X \subset Y$, let $j : X \to Y$ the canonical inclusion. In what follows, we assume that:

- X is a diffeological space with diffeology $\mathcal{P}$.
- Y is a (T_2) sequentially complete uniform space [5, Topologie générale, Chapter II].
- $\forall p \in \mathcal{P}$, $j \circ p$ is a continuous map.
- Y is equipped with a diffeology $\mathcal{P}_0$.

The diffeology $\mathcal{P}_0$ is made of plots which can be not continuous for the uniform space topology. This is why we consider the following diffeology:

- Let $\mathcal{F}_0\{f \in C^0(Y, \mathbb{R}) | \forall p \in \mathcal{P}, f \circ p \text{ is smooth}\}$
- Let $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{F}_0)$ and $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{C})$.
- Let $\mathcal{P}' = \{p \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{F}) | p \text{ is continous}\}$.

Notice that if $C^0(Y, \mathbb{R})$ determines the topology of Y by pull-back of the topology of $\mathbb{R}$, the last point is not necessary, and $\mathcal{P}' = \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{F})$.

Definition 2.1. *We note by $\mathcal{C}(X, Y)$ the subspace of $X^{\mathbb{N}}$ made of sequence $(x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ such that $(j(x_n))_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is Cauchy in Y.*

There exists two naive "natural" diffeologies on $\mathcal{C}(X)$:

- As an infinite product, $X^{\mathbb{N}}$ carries a natural diffeology $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{N}}$, and by the inclusion $\mathcal{C}(X) \subset X^{\mathbb{N}}$, the set $\mathcal{C}(X)$ can be endowed with the subsetdiffeology.
- If X is complete, $\mathcal{C}(X)$ can be equipped with the pull-back diffeology

$$\left(\lim_{n\to+\infty}\right)^* (\mathcal{P}').$$

The first one is natural form the view point of approximation theory: an approximation scheme of $x \in X$ generates a sequence $(x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ which converges to x and x_n is considered as a "reasonable" approximation of x when the index n is "large enough". The second one is natural for the viewpoint of the limi: Cauchy sequences are often constructed in order to get convergence in complete spaces. So that, it is natural also to assume that the limit of a smooth plot on $\mathcal{C}(X)$ generates a smooth plot on X. If X is not complete for the considered uniform space, we assume that $\bar{X}$, the completion of X, is equipped with a metric and is a diffeological space, with $D-$ topology stronger than the metric topology.

Unfortunately, we have the following, assuming that $X = Y$ and $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}'$:

Proposition 2.2. *If there exists two distinct points x and y in X which are connected by a smooth path $\gamma : [0; 1] \to X$, then*

$$\lim_{n\to+\infty} : (\mathcal{C}(X), \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{N}}) \to (X, \mathcal{P})$$

is not smooth.

Proof. Let $\mathbf{x}$ be the constant Cauchy sequence which converges to x, and let $\mathbf{y}$ be the constant Cauchy sequence which converges to y. Let us define a path

$\Gamma : [0;1] \to \mathcal{C}(X)$ by the relations, for $n \geq 0$:

$$\Gamma(t)_n = \begin{cases} x & \text{if } t < \frac{1}{n+1} \\ \gamma\left((n+2)(t-1+\frac{1}{n+1}\right) & \text{if } t \in \left[1-\frac{1}{n+1}; 1-\frac{1}{n+2}\right] \\ y & \text{if } t > 1-\frac{1}{n+2} \end{cases} \tag{1}$$

This path is smooth for $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{N}}$, and

$$\begin{cases} & \lim_{n\to+\infty} \Gamma(0) = x \\ \forall t, & \lim_{n\to+\infty} \Gamma(t) = y \end{cases}$$

On this path, the map $\lim_{n\to+\infty}$ is not smooth. □

The two diffeologies $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{N}}$ and $\left(\lim_{n\to+\infty}\right)^* (\mathcal{P})$ cannot be compared. We can be definitely convinced adding the following example:

Example 2.3. *Let us equip $\mathbb{Q} \subset \mathbb{R}$ with the subsetdiffeology of $\mathbb{R}$. This is the discrete diffeology. Thus the diffeology $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{N}}$ on $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{Q})$ is the discrete diffeology, and hence the map $\lim_{n\to+\infty} : \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{Q}) \to \mathbb{R}$ is smooth.*

We have motivated the use of the two diffeologies, so that, the following definition becomes natural:

Definition 2.4. *Let $(X, \mathcal{P})$ be a diffeological space with uniform structure. We define the **Cauchy diffeology**, noted $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{C}}$, as*

$$\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{C}} = \mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{N}} \cap \left(\lim_{n\to+\infty}\right)^* (\mathcal{P}).$$

From the classical example we see that the ambiant space X needs to have "enough" plots to make the Cauchy diffeology interesting. Let us give another example, with much more rich Cauchy diffeology.

Example 2.5. *Let X be a compact metric space, equipped with its Borel $\sigma-$algebra. The space of probabilities on X, $\mathbb{P}(X)$ is a convex subspace of the space of measures on X and the set of extremals (the "border") of $\mathbb{P}(U)$ is the set of Dirac measures $\delta(X) = \{\delta_x; x \in X\}$. The Monte Carlo method is based on isobarycenters of Dirac measures, and any probability measure $\mu \in \mathbb{P}(X)$ is a limit of isobarycenters of Dirac measures for the vague topology, in other words,*

$$\forall \mu \in \mathbb{P}(X), \exists (x_n) \in X^{\mathbb{N}}, \forall f \in C^0(X, \mathbb{R}), \int_X f d\mu = \lim_{n\to+\infty} \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^{n} f(x_k).$$

Conversely, each sequence of Dirac measures generate this way a probability measure. Equipped with the Prokhorov metric, $\mathbb{P}(X)$ is a compact metric space [35]*. If X is a diffeological space, the family $C^\infty(X, \mathbb{R})$ generates a structure of Frölicher space, and hence we can define the diffeology $\mathcal{P}'$ on $\mathbb{P}(X)$ following the notations of the section. Thus, we get a Cauchy diffeology on $\mathcal{C}(\delta(X), \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{X}))$. This diffeology contains smooth paths for the diffeology $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{N}}$*

$$\gamma : [0;1] \to (x_0(t), ..., x_n(t), ...)$$

that are stationnary after a fixed rank N. Thus the Cauchy diffeology is not the discrete diffeology.

This example gives a first motivation for the following theorem:

Proposition 2.6. *Let $(X, \mathcal{P}_X)$ and $(Y, \mathcal{P}_Y)$ be a diffeological vector spaces, such that $X \subset Y$ with smooth inclusion, and such that Y is equipped with a translation-invariant metric which generates a topology τ which is weaker than its $D-$topology. Assue also that X is dense in Y for τ. Then $(\mathcal{C}(X), \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{C}})$ is a diffeological vector space.*

Proof. Since $\mathcal{C}(X)$ is a vector space, it is a diffeological vector space for $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{N}}$. Since $\lim_{n\to+\infty}$ is a linear map, $\left(\lim_{n\to+\infty}\right)^* (\mathcal{P}_Y)$ is also a diffeology of diffeological vector space on $\mathcal{C}(X)$. Thus, so is $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{C}}$. □

3. A theory of smooth numerical schemes

Let X, Y, Z be Fréchet spaces, and Q be a diffeological space of parameters. They all have a natural diffeology which is the reflexive diffeology generated by smooth paths. Assume that

- $X \subset Y$ with smooth and dense inclusion
- the space of Cauchy sequences $\mathcal{C}(X)$ are Cauchy sequences with respect to the uniform structure on Y.

A **smooth functionnal equation** is defined by a smooth map $F : X \times Q \to Z$ and by the condition

$$F(u, q) = 0 \tag{2}$$

A $Y-$**smooth numerical scheme** is a smooth map

$$Num_F : Q \to \mathcal{C}(X)$$

such that, if $(x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} = Num_F(q)$ for $q \in Q$,

$$\lim_{n\to+\infty} F(x_n) = 0.$$

We call the image space $Im(Num_F)$ the space of solutions of 2 with respect to Num_F. The set of $Y-$symmetries, noted $Sym(F, X, Y)$ is defined as the set of smooth maps

$$\Phi : Im(Num_F) \to Im(Num_F)$$

which have a smooth inverse. In other words,

$$Sym(F, X, Y) = Diff(Im(Num_F)).$$

As $Im(Num_F)$ is a subset of $\mathcal{C}(X)$, this is a diffeological space of the subsetof the Cauchy diffeology, and hence

Theorem 3.1. *$Sym(F, X, Y)$ is a diffeological group.*

3.1. **Example: the Dirichlet problem.** Let us consider the 2-dimensional Dirichelet problem. Let Ω be a bounded connected open subset of $\mathbb{R}^2$, and assume that the border $\partial\Omega = \bar{\Omega} - \Omega$ is a polygonal curve. The Dirichlet problem is given by the following PDE:

$$\begin{cases} \Delta u = f \\ u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0 \end{cases}$$

where $f \in \mathcal{D}(\Omega, \mathbb{R})$, Δ is the Laplacian, and u is the solution of the Dirichlet problem.

Outlook of the finite elements method.

One classical way to solve the problem is to approximate u by a sequence $(u_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ in the Sobolev space $H_0^1(\Omega,\mathbb{R})$ which converges to u for the H_0^1-convergence. For this, based on a triangulation τ_0 with $0-$vertices $(s^(0)_k)_{k\in K_0}$, where K_0 is an adequate set of indexes, and we consider the H_0^1-orthogonal family $\left(\delta_{s_k^{(0)}}\right)_{k\in K_0}$ of continuous, piecewise affine maps on each interior domain of triangulation, defined by

$$\delta_{s_k^{(0)}}(s_j^{(0)}) = \delta_{j,k} \text{ (Kronecker symbol).}$$

With this setting, u_0 is a linear combination of $\left(\delta_{s_k^{(0)}}\right)_{k\in K_0}$ such that

$$\forall k \in K_0, \left(\Delta u_0, \delta_{s_k^{(0)}}\right)_{H^{-1}\times H_0^1} = \left(f, \delta_{s_k^{(0)}}\right)_{L_2}.$$

This is a finite dimensional linear equation, which can be solved by inversion of a $|K_0|-$dimensional matrix. Then we refine the triangulation τ_0 adding the centers of $1-$vertices to make the triangulation τ_1, and by induction, we get a sequence of triangulation $(\tau_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$, and by the way a sequence of orthogonal families

$$\left(\left(\delta_{s_k^{(n)}}\right)_{k\in K_n}\right)_{n\in\mathbb{N}},$$

which determines the sequence $(u_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ which converges to u. Then through operator analysis, we know that u is smooth and that there exists a smooth inverse to the Lapacian Δ^{-1} adapted to the Dirichlet problem such that $u = \Delta^{-1}f$.

The finite elements method as a smooth numerical scheme.

From [29],

Lemma 3.2. *Let us assume that X is a topological space, and that there is a collection $\{(X_i,\mathcal{F}_i,\mathcal{C}_i)\}_{i\in I}$ of Frölicher spaces, together with continuous maps $f_i : X_i \to X$. Then we can define a Frölicher structures on X setting*

$$\mathcal{F}_{I,0} = \{f \in C^0(X,\mathbb{R}) | \forall i \in I, \quad f\circ f_i \circ \mathcal{C}_i \subset C^\infty(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{R})\},$$

wa define $\mathcal{C}_I$ the contours generated by the family $\mathcal{F}_{I,0}$, and $\mathcal{F}_I = \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{C}_I)$.

Let M be a smooth manifold for dimension n. Let

$$\Delta_n = \{(x_0,...,x_n) \in \mathbb{R}_+^{n+1} | x_0 + ... + x_n = 1\}$$

be the $n-$ simplex. a triangulation of M is a family $\tau = (\tau_i)_{i\in I}$ where $I \subset \mathbb{N}$ is a set of indexes, finite or infinite, each τ_i is a smooth map $\Delta_n \to M$, and such that:

1. $\forall i \in I, \tau_i$ is an embedding.
2. $\bigcup_{i\in I} \tau_i(\Delta_n) = M$. (covering)
3. $\forall (i,j) \in I^2$, $\tau_i(\Delta_n) \cap \tau_j(\Delta_n) \subset \tau_i(\partial\Delta_n) \cap \tau_j(\partial\Delta_n)$. (intersection along the borders)
4. $\forall (i,j) \in I^2$ such that $D_{i,j} = \tau_i(\Delta_n) \cap \tau_j(\Delta_n) \neq \emptyset$, for each $(n-1)$-face F of $D_{i,j}$, the "transition maps" $\tau_j^{-1} \circ \tau_i : \sigma_i^{-1}(F) \to \tau_j^{-1}(F)$ are affine maps.

Under these (well-known) conditions, we can apply section **??**, and equip the triangulated manifold (M,τ) with a Frölicher structure $(\mathcal{F},\mathcal{C})$, generated by the smooth maps τ_i. The following result is obtained from the construction of $\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{C}$:

Theorem 3.3. *The inclusion $(M,\mathcal{F},\mathcal{C}) \to M$ is smooth.*

Now, let us fix the set of indexes I and fix a so-called **model triangulation** τ. We note by $\mathcal{T}_\tau$ the set of triangulations τ' of M such that the corresponding 1-skeletons are diffeomorphic (in the Frölicher category). Based on the properties described in [20], since $\mathcal{T}_\tau \subset C^\infty(\Delta_n, M)^I$, we can equip $\mathcal{T}_\tau$ with the subset Frölicher structure, in other words, the Frölicher structure on $\mathcal{T}_\tau$ whose generating family of contours $\mathcal{C}$ are the contours in $C^\infty(\Delta_n, M)^I$ which lie in $\mathcal{T}_\tau$. In the case of the Dirichlet problem, we consider a subspace of $\mathcal{T}_\tau$. We define $\mathcal{T}$ as the disjoint union odf the spaces $\mathcal{T}_\tau$.

In the finite elements method, we consider the space of affine triangulations:

$$Aff\mathcal{T}_\tau = \{\tau' \in \mathcal{T}_\tau | \forall i, \tau'_i \text{ is affine } \}.$$

Back to the Dirichlet problem, with the sequence of triangulation $(\tau_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ defined before, we get a map

$$\delta : \tau'_n \in Aff\mathcal{T}_{\tau_n} \mapsto \left(\delta_{s_k^{(n)}}\right)_{k\in K_n} \in H_0^1(\Omega, \mathbb{R})^{K_n}.$$

We are not sure that this map is smooth for the Frölicher structure defined before (we can only prove is that it is continuous) so that, if $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{F})$ is the nebulae diffeology of $\mathcal{T}$, we equip $\coprod_{n\in\mathbb{N}} Aff\mathcal{T}_{\tau_n}$ with the subset diffeology that we call also $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{F})$. From this, we get the following theorem:

Theorem 3.4. *The map $(\tau'_0, f) \mapsto (u_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a smmoth H_0^1- numerical scheme for the Dirichlet problem, for the diffeology*

$$\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{F}) \cap \delta^* \left(\prod_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \mathcal{P}(H_0^1(\Omega, \mathbb{R})^{K_n}) \right).$$

3.2. **Open question: paradoxal solutions of perfect fluid dynamics.** Let $n \geq 2$. Let us consider the perfect fluid equations on a compact interval $I = [0; T]$ (at finite time), with variables $u \in C^\infty(I \times \mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n)$ (the velocity) and $p \in C^\infty(I \times \mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R})$, and with (fixed) external force f:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{rl} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} - \nabla_v v + \nabla p & = f \\ div(u) & = 0 \end{array} \right. \tag{3}$$

Since Scheffer and Shnilerman [36, 37, 38] it is known that these equations have surprising weak solutions, compactly supported in space and time. Advances in this problem have been recently performed in [22, 23, 24], see also [40] for an overview of the two first references. Let us focuse with the following central theorem, see e.g. [40, Theorem 1.4]:

Theorem 3.5. *Let Ω be an open subset of $\mathbb{R}^n$, let e be an uniformly continuous function $]0; T[\times\Omega \to \mathbb{R}_+^*$ with $e \in L^\infty\left(]0; T[; L^1(\Omega)\right)$. $\forall \eta > 0$, there exists a weak solution (u, p) of (3) with $f = 0$ such that:*

1. *$u \in C^0([0; T], L^2_w(\mathbb{R}))^n$ (the subscript "w" refers to the weak topology)*
2. *$u(t, x) = 0$ if $(t, x) \in]0; T[\times\Omega$, in particular, $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $u(0, x) = u(T, x) = 0$.*
3. *$\frac{|u(t,x)|^2}{2} = \frac{n}{2} p(t, x) = e(t, x)$ almost everywhere on Ω and forall $t \in]0; T[$*
4. *$\sup_{t\in I} ||u(t, .)||_{H^{-1}(\Omega)} < \eta$.*
5. *there exists a sequence (u_n, p_n) such that (u_n, p_n) converges to (u, p) for strong $L^2(dt, dx)$-convergence, where each (u_m, p_m) is a (classical) solution*

of (3) with force f_m, and such that (f_n) converges to 0 in the sense of distributions.

Actually, the sequence f_m can be obtained from convex integration, the sequence (u_m, p_m) is a Cauchy sequence of (smooth) classical solutions of (**??**), which is L^2-Cauchy, and a natural question is the following:

Problem The control function e and the sequence (f_m) appear as parameters to define the Cauchy sequence $(u_m, p_m)_{m\in\mathbb{N}}$. One can wonder if there is a diffeology on the parameter set $Q = \{(e,(f_m))\} \subset L^\infty\left(]0;T[;L^1(\Omega)\right) \times \mathcal{CD}(]0;T[\times\Omega])$ (different from the discrete diffeology!) suchj that the map $(e,(f_m)) \mapsto (u_m,p_m) \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{D}(]0;T[\times\Omega)^{n+1})$ is smooth. This opens the question of the diffeologies envolved in convex integration and h-principle.

4. A "free-of-estimates" Nash-Moser type implicit functions theorem

We set the following notations, following [31]: Let $\mathbf{E} = (E_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ and $\mathbf{F} = (F_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ be two ILB vector spaces, i.e. $\forall i > j, E_i \subset E_j$ and $F_i \subset F_j$, with smooth inclusion and density, and set $E_\infty = \bigcap_{i\in\mathbb{N}} E_i$, $F_\infty = \bigcap_{i\in\mathbb{N}} F_i$ with projective limit topology. Let O_0 be an open neighborhood of $(0;0)$ in $E_0 \times F_0$, let $\mathbf{O} = (O_i)_{i\in I}$ with $O_i = O_0 \cap (E_i \times F_i)$, for $i \in \mathbb{N}\cup\{\infty\}$.

Theorem 4.1. *Let*

$$f_i : O_i \to F_i, \quad i \in \mathbb{N}\cup\{\infty\}$$

be a collection of smooth maps satisfying the following condition:

$$i < j \Rightarrow f_j|_{O_i} = f_i$$

and such that,

$$D_2 f(0;0) = Id.$$

Then, there exists a domain $D \subset O_\infty$ and a function $u : D \to F_\infty$ such that:

- $\forall x \in D, f_\infty(x,u(x)) = 0$
- *For the subset diffeology of $D \subset E_\infty, u : D \to F_\infty$ is smooth .*

Remark 4.2. *One can see here a difference with classical statements of ome infinite dimensional function theorems: the functions f_i are in general assumed to fulfill some estimates or additionnal conditions: in the Nash-Moser theorem, functions must be "tame"* [15] *and the domain D appears to be open; in the bornoligical setting, conditions are carried by the bornology defined on E_∞ and F_∞, and the domain D is a bornological subspace* [16]*. Here, no such estimates are assumed, D is a diffeological space, and smoothness of the solution u is obtained. This shows that additionnal conditions are only needed to give some control on the nature of the domain D. More details will be given after the proof.*

Proof. Let $g_i = Id_{F_i} - f_i$, for $i \in \mathbb{N}\cup\{\infty\}$. With this condition,

$$D_2 g_i(0;0) = 0.$$

We note by $\phi_{i,x} = g_i(x,.)$. Let $D'_i \subset E_i$ be the set defined by the following:

$$x \in D'_i \Leftrightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \phi^n_{i,x} i(0) \in O_i \\ (\phi^n_{i,x}(0))_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \in \mathcal{C}(O_i, O_i) \end{array} \right.$$

We define, for $x \in D'_i$,

$$u_i(x) = \lim_{n \to +\infty} \phi^n_{i,x}(0) \in \bar{O}_i.$$

For each $x \in D'_i$, if $u_i(x) \in O_i$, we get $g_i(x, u_i(x)) = x$ and hence $f_i(x, u_i(x)) = 0$. The family of maps $\{g_i; i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ restricts to a map g on O_∞, and hence each map $\phi_{i,x}$ restricts the same way to a map ϕ_x. We set

$$D' = \bigcap_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \left(D'_i \cap u_i^{-1}(O_i) \right)$$

and define $D \subset D'$ the maximal domain which contains 0 such that the map

$$x \mapsto (\phi^n_x(0))_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \bigcap_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{C}(O_i, O_i)$$

is smooth for the projective limit diffeology. Then, the map u which is the restriction of and map u_i to D is a smooth map and,

$$\forall x \in D, f(x, u(x)) = 0.$$

□

After this proof, adapted from the classical proof of the implicit functions theorem in Banach spaces, see e.g. [33], we must notice several points:

(1) Here, the uniqueness of the function u is not stated. Moreover, the domain D is not precised. Each of these two points, for each index $i \in \mathbb{N}$ in [33] are treated assuming a contractibility property on g_i, which enables to show both that the domain D'_i contains an open neighborhood U_i of 0, and that there exists V_i a neighborhood of 0 in F_i such that $(x, u_i(x))$ is the only value in $\{x\} \times V_i$ such that $f_i(x, u_i(x)) = 0$, which is the uniqueness of the map. Another way to give the same argument would state that the implicit function theorem on Banach spaces proves uniqueness on O_0, so that it proves the uniqueness for and O_i, $i \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$. But the domain for uniqueness of the solution on O_∞ is a subset of D.

(2) Passing to the projective limit, the question of the nature of the domain D can be adressed. As an infinite intersection of open sets, it cannot be stated that D is an open subset of E_∞. Thinking with an intuition based on finite dimensional problems, one could think that a domain D which is not a neighborhood of 0 is not interesting, because all norms are equivalent. This is the motivation for Nash-Moser estimates [15], with which one manages to show that the domain D is an open neighborhood of 0. This comes from the natural desire to control the "size" of the domain D. But noticing that $E_1 \subset E_0$ is not an open subset of E_0 unless $E_1 = E_0$, one can see that D is not open is not disqualifying.

(3) Since Nash-Moser estimates appear rather artificial, and only designed to "pass to the projective limit" some open domain, and noticing that the condition of contractibility in (1) enables to bound the difference $||\phi^{n+1}_{i,x}(0) - \phi^n_{i,x}(0)||_{F_i}$ by a converging geometric sequence, one can try to generalize this procedure and apply the notion of bornology. This gives the theorem stated in [16]. But Theorem 4.1 deals with a wider class of functions.

The same way, we can state the corresponding Frobenius theorem:

Theorem 4.3. *Let*

$$f_i : O_i \to L(E_i, F_i), \quad i \in -\mathbb{N}$$

be a collection of smooth maps satisfying the following condition:

$$i < j \Rightarrow f_j|_{O_i} = f_i$$

and such that,

$$\forall (x,y) \in O_i, \forall a, b \in E_i$$
$$(D_1 f_i(x,y)(a)(b) + (D_2 f_i(x,y))(f_i(x,y)(a))(b) =$$
$$(D_1 f_i(x,y)(b)(a) + (D_2 f_i(x,y))(f_i(x,y)(b))(a).$$

Then, $\forall (x_0, y_0) \in O_\infty$, there exists diffeological subspace space D of O_∞ that contains (x_0, y_0) and a smooth map $J : D \to F_\infty$ such that

$$\forall (x,y) \in D, \quad D_1 J(x,y) = f_i(x, J(x,y))$$

and, if $D_{x_0} = \{(x_0, y) \in D\}$,

$$J_i(x_0, .) = Id_{D_{x_0}}.$$

Proof. We consider

$$G_i = C^1_0([0,1], F_i) = \{\gamma \in C^1([0,1], F_i) | \gamma(0) = 0\}$$

and

$$H_i = C^0([0,1], F_i),$$

endowed with their usual topologies, and nebulae underlying diffeology. Obviously, if $i < j$, the injections $G_j \subset G_i$ and $H_j \subset H_i$ are smooth.

Let us consider open subsets $B_0 \subset E_0$ and $B'_0 \subset F_0$, such that

$$(x_0, y_0) \subset B_0 \times B'_0 \subset O_0.$$

Let us consider the open set

$$B''_0 = \{\gamma \in G_0 | \forall t \in [0;1], \gamma(t) \in B'_0\}.$$

We set $B_i = B_0 \cap E_i$, $B'_i = B'_0 \cap F_i$ and $B''_i = B''_0 \cap G_i$. Then, we define, for $i \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$,

$$g_i : B_i \times B'_i \times B''_i \to H_i$$
$$g(x,y,\gamma)(t) = \frac{d\gamma}{dt}(t) - f_i(t(x - x_0) + x_0, y + \gamma(t)).(x - x_0).$$

In order to apply the last implicit function theorem, we must calculate, avoiding the subscripts i for easier reading:

$$D_3 g(x_0, y_0, 0)(\delta) = \frac{d\delta}{dt}.$$

Thus, we can apply the implicit function theorem to

$$f = \left(\int_0^{(.)} \right) \circ g.$$

We can define the function α as the unique function on the domain $D \subset B\infty \times B'_\infty$ such that

$$\begin{cases} \alpha(x_0, y_0) = 0 \\ g_\infty(x, y, \alpha(x,y)) = 0, \quad \forall (x,y) \in D \end{cases}.$$

We set $J(x,y) = y + \alpha(x,y)(1)$. □

References

[1] Baez, J.; Hoffnung, A.; Convenient categories of smooth spaces. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **363**, 5789-5825 (2011).

[2] Batubenge, A.; Iglesias-Zemmour, P.; Karshon, Y.; Watts, J.A.; Diffeological, Frölicher, and differential spaces (Preprint 2014)

[3] Batubenge, A.; Ntumba, P.; On the way to Frölicher Lie groups *Quaestionnes Math.* **28** (2009) 73-93

[4] Batubenge, A.; Tshilombo, M.H.; Topologies on product and coproduct Frölicher spaces; *Demonstratio Math.* **47**, no4 (2014) 1012-1024

[5] Bourbaki, N.; *Eléments de mathématiques*; Masson, Paris (1981)

[6] Christensen, J.D.; Sinnamon, G.; Wu, E.;The D-topology for diffeological spaces, *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* **272** no 1 (2014), 87-110

[7] Christensen, J.D.; Wu, E.; Tangent spaces and tangent bundles for diffeological spaces; arXiv:1411.5425

[8] Cherenack, P.; Ntumba, P.; Spaces with differentiable structure an application to cosmology *Demonstratio Math.* **34** no 1 (2001), 161-180

[9] Donato, P.; *Revtements de groupes diffrentiels* Thse de doctorat d'tat, Universit de Provence, Marseille (1984)

[10] Dugmore, D.; Ntumba, P.;On tangent cones of Frölicher spaces *Quaetiones mathematicae* **30** no1 (2007) 67-83

[11] Dugmore, D.; Ntumba, P.; Cofibrations in the category of Frölicher spaces: part I *Homotopy, homology and applications* **9**, no 2 (2007) 413-444

[12] Eells, J.; A setting for global analysis *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **72** 751-807 (1966)

[13] Fadell, E.R.; Husseini, S.Y.; *Geometry and topology of configuration spaces* Springer, Berlin (2001)

[14] Frölicher, A; Kriegl, A; *Linear spaces and differentiation theory* Wiley series in Pure and Applied Mathematics, Wiley Interscience (1988)

[15] Hamilton, R.S.; The inverse function theorem of Nash and Moser; *Bull. Amer. Math Soc. (NS)* **7** (1984) 65-222

[16] Hoghe-Nlend, H.; *Théorie des bornologies et applications* Lect. Notes in Math. **273** (1971)

[17] Iglesias-Zemmour, P.; *Fibrations difféologiques et homotopie*, PhD thesis, université de Provence (1985)

[18] Iglesias-Zemmour, P.; *Diffeology* Mathematical Surveys and Monographs **185** (2013)

[19] Iglesias-Zemmour, P.; Karshon, Y.; Smooth Lie group actions are parametrized diffeological subgroups. *Proc. AMS* **140** no 2, 731-739 (2012).

[20] Kriegl, A.; Michor, P.W.; *The convenient setting for global analysis* Math. surveys and monographs **53**, American Mathematical society, Providence, USA. (2000)

[21] Léandre, R.; Analysis on Loop Spaces and Topology *Mathematical Notes* **72** no 1, 212-229 (2002)

[22] C. De Lellis, L. Szkelyhidi Jr. The Euler equations as a differential inclusion. *Ann. of Math. (2)* **170** no. 3, 14171436 (2009)

[23] C. De Lellis, L. Szkelyhidi Jr. On admissibility criteria for weak solutions of the Euler equations. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* **195** no. 1, 225260 (2010)
and
Errata to "On admissibility criteria for weak solutions of the Euler equations"

[24] C. De Lellis, L. Szkelyhidi Jr. The h-principle and the equations of fluid dynamics. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **49**, 347-375 (2012)

[25] Leslie, J.; On a Diffeological Group Realization of certain Generalized symmetrizable Kac-Moody Lie Algebras *J. Lie Theory* **13**, 427-442 (2003)

[26] Magnot, J-P.; Diffféologie sur le fibré d'holonomie d'une connexion en dimension infinie *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci., Soc. R. Can.* **28**, no. 4, 121-127 (2006)

[27] Magnot, J-P.; A non regular Frlicher Lie group of diffeomorphisms (4th corrected version: 2013); arXiv:1101.2370v4

[28] Magnot, J-P.; Ambrose-Singer theorem on diffeological bundles and complete integrability of the KP equation; *Int. J. Geom. Meth. Mod. Phys.* **10**, No. 9, Article ID 1350043, 31 p. (2013).

[29] Magnot, J-P.; Differentiation on spaces of triangulations and optimized triangulations. `ArXiv:1602.04790` To appear in *Proceedings of the international conference ICM 2016*
[30] Olver, P.J.; *Applications of Lie groups to differential equations (2nd edition)* GTM **107**, Springer (1993)
[31] Omori, H.; *Infinite dimensional Lie groups* AMS translations of mathematical monographs **158** (1997)
[32] Palais, R.S.; Homotopy theory of infinite dimensional manifolds *Topology* **5** 1-16 (1966)
[33] Penot, J.-P.; Sur le théorème de Frobenius ; *Bull. Soc. math. France* **98**, 47-80 (1970)
[34] Ekaterina Pervova, "Diffeological vector pseudo-bundles", *Topology Appl.* **202** (2016), 269–300.
[35] Prokhorov, Yu.V.; Convergence of random processes and limit theorems in probability theory. *Theor. Prob. Appl.* **1**, 157-214 (1956)
[36] Scheffer, V.; An inviscid flow with compact support in space-time. *J. Geom. Anal.* **3** no 4 , 343401 (1993)
[37] Shnilerman, A.; On the nonuniqueness of weak solution of the Euler equation. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **50** no 12, 12611286 (1997)
[38] Shnilerman, A.; Weak solutions with decreasing energy of incompressible Euler equations. *Comm. Math. Phys.* **210** no 3, 541603 (2000)
[39] Souriau, J.M.; Un algorithme générateur de structures quantiques; *Astérisque*, Hors Série, (1985) 341-399
[40] Vilani, C.; Paradoxe de Scheffer-Shnirelman revu sous langle de lintgration convexe (daprs C. De Lellis, L. Szkelyhidi). *Séminaire Bourbaki* Exp. 1001 (November 2008)
[41] Watts, J.; *Diffeologies, differentiable spaces and symplectic geometry* PhD thesis, university of Toronto (2012) arXiv:1208.3634

Lycée Jeanne d'Arc, Avenue de Grande Bretagne, F-63000 Clermont-Ferrand
E-mail address: `jean-pierr.magnot@ac-clermont.fr`